FORV/S

2023 Adopter CECL Implementation Road Map Series: Impact of CECL on Internal Controls

January 10, 2023

TO RECEIVE CPE CREDIT

Individuals

- Participate in entire webinar
- Answer polls when they are provided

Groups

- Group leader is the person who registered & logged on to the webinar
- Answer polls when they are provided
- Complete group attendance form
- Group leader sign bottom of form
- Submit group attendance form to <u>cpecompliance@forvis.com</u> within 24 hours of webinar
- If all eligibility requirements are met, each participant will be emailed their CPE certificate within 15 business days of webinar

FORV/S

Meet the Presenters



Ashley Ensley

Partner FORVIS, LLP

ashley.ensley@forvis.com



Amanda Sterwerf

Senior Manager FORVIS, LLP

amanda.sterwerf@forvis.com



Agenda

- Discuss the importance of the control environment
- Discuss best practices related to the internal control implementation of ASC 326
- Discuss the documentation necessary to support key controls

Risk Assessment



Significant Risk of Material Misstatement Indicators

Estimation uncertainty

Materiality of the loan loss provision

Level of judgement on key data & assumptions



FORVIS is a trademark of FORVIS, LLP, registration of which is pending with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Likely Challenges

Complex material estimates will require strong internal controls, including governing body oversight

Forward-looking view & subjectivity can be challenging to support

Increased regulatory scrutiny on management's judgments

Estimates will be more volatile, changing from quarter to quarter

Volatility & sensitivity will need explanation



Take a fresh look at your risks & controls! While some risks & controls identified may overlap with your old incurred loss model, a common pitfall is trying to fit your new ACL process into your old incurred loss model framework

What Could Go Wrong = "WCGW"





- What is a "what could go wrong" risk?
 - Any condition that could allow misstatements to enter the system or allow data to lose integrity
 - Can also include risk of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of assets that could result in a material misstatement



Things to consider when identifying WCGW risks

How data enters the system

How data is stored within the system; how it's accessed or transferred Where in the process data is summarized, accumulated, subject to calculation, or manipulated

Manual process that affects the data

Management review processes over the data; how data maintains integrity Judgments made by management in determining whether to make adjustments & the amount of adjustment(s)

How data is affected when it's summarized for financial reporting purposes

FORV/S

Implementation WCGW Examples

- Key stakeholders not adequately involved in implementation & decision making
- Identification of inappropriate credit quality indicators used to segment loans for modeling
- Models selected are excessively difficult to implement due to missing or inaccurate loan data



ACL Model WCGW Examples

- Unauthorized or undetected changes are made to data, files, or models
- Data requested by the corporate credit team from the line of business is inaccurate or incomplete
- Data importation into the model is inaccurate or incomplete
- Economic variable assumptions are not appropriate for use in the forecasting estimates
- Qualitative factors are unsupported



Documenting Your ACL Process



FORVIS is a trademark of FORVIS, LLP, registration of which is pending with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Narratives & Flowcharts

"Cradle to Grave" documentation of the process for both

Implementation Process

Ongoing ACL Process



FORVIS is a trademark of FORVIS, LLP, registration of which is pending with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Narratives & Flowcharts

Documented through collaboration with multiple stakeholders

- Accounting/Finance Team
- Credit Team
- Information Technology Team
- Audit Committee
- Those Charged with Governance



Narratives & Flowcharts



One common pitfall to avoid is documenting the "best case scenario" of the ACL process rather than what is actually happening in practice



FORVIS is a trademark of FORVIS, LLP, registration of which is pending with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Circling Back to Risks

Identified Controls Within the ACL Process

Identified Risks



The example risks & control activities on the following slide may not be applicable or appropriate for every institution & are not intended to be comprehensive. The following are general examples of risks (what could go wrong) that may arise when estimating credit losses & example control activities that may be employed to reduce those risks



Risks

Data used in the model is incomplete or inaccurate

Controls

Preventive & monitoring controls including reconciliations & data validation



Risks

Calculations within the ACL model or spreadsheet are inaccurate

Controls

Preventive & monitoring controls including formula testing, recalculations, or model validation



Risks

Inappropriate loan classification at inception

Controls

Preventive review controls over the accuracy of new loan entry



Risks

Economic variable assumptions obtained from external source are inaccurate

Controls

Review control to periodically evaluate the accuracy of model source data



Risks

Loans that do not have individual risk characteristics requiring individual analysis are inappropriately excluded from loan segments

Controls

Monitoring controls over the identification of events or conditions that meet the new CECL definitions requiring loans to be evaluated individually & not collectively



Risks

Qualitative factor adjustments are inconsistent with changes in the loan portfolio or economic conditions &/or management's determination of adjustments are not welldocumented

Controls

Review controls over data used to develop qualitative factor adjustments or lack thereof & robust documentation of management's process for determining the adjustments



Key elements of documentation

Who performed the control

When did they perform the control – was it performed timely?

Procedure-level documentation – often risk-based

Retention of reports used in the operation of the control



- Review Controls
 - Often will require additional documentation to evidence the precision of the review
 - Documentation of the reviewer's thought process when performing the review
 - + What are the expectations?
 - + What would constitute a deviation?
 - + How are exceptions or issues handled?



- Committee-Level Controls common for the ACL process
 - Many individuals involved
 - Often where key assumption decisions are made or where the final approval of the ACL calculation takes place
 - Documentation is often kept in the form of meeting minutes
 - Consideration of materials reviewed by the Committee for completeness & accuracy – supports the precision of the control



- Qualitative factor adjustments
 - Highly subjective & difficult to support if poorly documented
 - Importance of documenting the qualitative factor determination process
 - + Period to period change
 - + Overall amount
 - Documentation of the completeness & accuracy of the data used in the qualitative factor determination process



Report/Spreadsheet Inventory & Retention

ACL model or spreadsheet	Loan trial balance	Studies used to support assumptions	Individually evaluated loan assessments	Charge-off & recoveries report
Loan reconciliation	Economic forecast reports	Reports used in determination of qualitative factors	Reports used to determine loan segmentation	Committee minutes



FORVIS is a trademark of FORVIS, LLP, registration of which is pending with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Final Thoughts

- A fresh look at your risk assessment will help identify ACL controls needed & those you may be missing
- The ACL process involves many stakeholders at your financial institution &, in some cases, third-parties
- Documentation is key!



Other Webinars



2023 Adopter CECL Implementation Road Map Series

- December 8, 2022 recording: Q-Factors in a CECL World
- August 23, 2022 recording: Debt Securities & Unfunded Commitments
- July 14, 2022 recording: Documenting Your CECL Adoption
- April 28, 2022 recording: Regression & Correlation What It Is & How It's Used in CECL
- December 8, 2021 recording: CECL Methodologies & Selection Process



Questions?



FORVIS is a trademark of FORVIS, LLP, registration of which is pending with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



FORVIS, LLP is registered with the National Association of State Boards of Accountancy (NASBA) as a sponsor of continuing professional education on the National Registry of CPE Sponsors. State boards of accountancy have final authority on the acceptance of individual courses for CPE credit. Complaints regarding registered sponsors may be submitted to the National Registry of CPE Sponsors through its website:

www.nasbaregistry.org



CPE CREDIT

- CPE credit may be awarded upon verification of participant attendance
- For questions, concerns, or comments regarding CPE credit, please email FORVIS at <u>cpecompliance@forvis.com</u>



Thank you!

forvis.com

The information set forth in this presentation contains the analysis and conclusions of the author(s) based upon his/her/their research and analysis of industry information and legal authorities. Such analysis and conclusions should not be deemed opinions or conclusions by FORVIS or the author(s) as to any individual situation as situations are fact specific. The reader should perform its own analysis and form its own conclusions regarding any specific situation. Further, the author(s) conclusions may be revised without notice with or without changes in industry information and legal authorities. FORVIS has been registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, which registration is pending.

FORV/S

Assurance / Tax / Advisory